Bioremediation- A Green Technology For Environmental Clean-Up

Sahana Ghosh, Prasenjit Das*
[Department of Microbiology, Vijaygarh Jyotish Ray College]

Abstract: Global population is rising at an alarming rate, leading to huge scale anthropogenic pollution of air, water and soil. According the World Health Organization (WHO), around 7 million people are killed each year from the air they breathe. According to the EPA, Bioremediation is a "treatment that uses naturally occurring organisms to break down hazardous substances into less toxic or non-toxic substances." This technology deploys microorganisms and plants to acquire, detoxify, degrade or remove toxic environmental contaminants (organic chemicals, heavy metals, oil and inorganic pollutants), even when they are present in low concentration. Microorganisms play a pivotal role in bioremediation process in nature by degrading complex human, animal, and plant wastes so that life can continue from one generation to the next. Bioremediation can be done either - *in situ* i.e. at the site of the contamination itself, or *ex situ* i.e. away from the site. There are three categories of bioremediation techniques to eliminate contaminants from environment: *in situ* land treatment for soil and groundwater; biofiltration of the air; and bioreactors, predominantly for water treatment.

Keywords: Pollution, Contaminants, Bioremediation, Detoxification, Microorganisms

Introduction

Bioremediation is the process of remediating environment from waste pollution by using Bio-organisms. According to the EPA, Bioremediation is a "treatment that uses naturally occurring organisms to break down hazardous substances into less-toxic or non-toxic substances." Environmental pollution is increasing due to various reasons. So there is an urgent need to search for new eco-friendly, low-cost, and more efficient environmental clean-up techniques. Ability of microorganisms or plants to accumulate, detoxify, degrade, or remove environmental contaminants play a crucial role in bioremediation [1]. It is based on the ability of a microorganism as well as plants to degrade the hydrocarbons and many other toxic compounds into components that can easily be taken up by other microorganisms and plants as a nutrient source or can be safely returned to the environment. Those degraded organic components are converted into water, CO_2 and other inorganic compounds. To help the microorganisms to grow and degrade the pollutants at a rapid rate, environmental parameters should be optimum [2]. However, certain limitations are reported in this technology. Chlorinated hydrocarbons or other high aromatic hydrocarbons are almost resistant to microbial degradation or degraded at a slow pace [3].

Most of the techniques in bioremediation are aerobic in nature, but anaerobic processes also used to help degrade pollutants in oxygen deficit areas [4].

2. Classes Of Bioremediation Strategies:

(i) **In- situ Bioremediation -** It is the process where contaminated waste is treated right at its point of origin. This method is cost effective and causes less disturbance to the surrounding area. It is mainly used for soil contamination due to oil spills. *In-situ* Bioremediation is limited up to 30-60 cm depth in soil up to which microorganisms can help degrade pollutants [3].

(ii) **Ex-situ bioremediation**– Itis the process where treatment occurs after the contaminated waste has been removed to a treatment area.



2.1 Types of *In-situ* Bioremediation

(i) Bioventing – It is the process where oxygen venting takes place through soil to stimulate the growth of microorganisms present in the soil. Adsorbed fuel residuals are biodegraded. Volatile compounds are also biodegraded as vapors move slowly through biologically active soil. Effective bioremediation of petroleum contaminated soil has been proved by many researcher using bioventing. [5,6]



(ii) Biostimulation – It is theprocess where specific nutrients and electron acceptors, such as phosphorus, nitrogen, oxygen, or carbon (e.g. in the form of molasses) are injected at the site to stimulate indigenous microbial activities. [7]



(iii) Bioattenuation – It is also known as natural attenuation, which eradicates pollutants from environment. It is carried out aerobically and anaerobically or with the help of plant and animal, physical phenomena like advection, dispersion, dilution, diffusion, volatilization, sorption/desorption, and chemical reactions like ion exchange, complexation, abiotic transformation[8].

(iv) Bioaugmentation - Bioaugmentation is the process of addition of pollutant degrading natural or exotic or engineered microorganisms to augment the biodegradative capacity of indigenous microbial populations on the contaminated area.

(v) Bioslurping – It is a technique where vacuum-enhanced dewatering technology is used to remediate hydrocarbon contaminated sites, mainly used in petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soils. It is also applicable at sites with a deep ground water table (>30ft.) [9].



(vi) Biosparging – It is a technology that uses indigenous microorganisms to biodegrade organic constituents in the saturated zone. Here air (or oxygen) and nutrients are injected into the saturated zone to increase the biological activity of the indigenous microorganisms.



(vii) Phytoremediation – Itis the direct use of green plants for removal, degradation, or containment of contaminants in soils, sludges, sediments, surface water and groundwater. Plants are unique organisms equipped with remarkable metabolic and absorption capabilities, as well as transport systems that can take up nutrients or contaminants selectively from soil or water. [10]

(viii) Rhizofiltration – It is the process of using hydroponically cultivated plant roots to remediate contaminated water through absorption, concentration, and precipitation of pollutants. It is a type of phyto-extraction where using aquatic-tolerant plants or aquatic vegetation to accumulate radionuclides primarily in the root system.



(ix) Bioleaching – Also known as microbial ore leaching, is a process to extract metals from their ores using microorganisms which feed on nutrients in the minerals, causing the metal to separate from its ore.



2.2 Types of *Ex-situ* Bioremediation

(i) Land Farming – It is a bioremediation treatment process that is performed in the upper soil zone or in bio treatment cells. The soil is turned over regularly allowing air to mix with the excavated soil so that microorganisms present in the soil can efficiently break down the contaminants in soil [11-15].

(ii) Biopile (biocells, bioheaps, biomounds, and compost piles) - Here piles of soil are placed over top of a vacuum pump which pulls air through the pile of soil to allow oxygen to get thought the soil through aeration process to the micoorganisms. Microbial activity results in the breakdown of the petroleum constituents in the soil. Biopiles are aerated most often by forcing air to move by injection or extraction through slotted or perforated piping placed throughout the pile [16].

(iii) Bioreactor – It is a vessel like container where biological degradation of contaminants is controlled. Its function depends on contaminated soil or sludge or water, oxygen transfer and mixing. There are two types of soil bioreactors – Dry bioreactors and slurry bioreactors. Bioreactors can also be designed to be operated aerobically as well as anaerobically.



(iv) Composting - Composting is an aerobic method of decomposing organic solid wastes thereby used to recycle organic materials. In this process organic material is decomposed into a humus-like material, known as compost, which is a good fertilizer for plants.The most efficient composting occurs with an optimal carbon: nitrogen ratio of about 25:1 [17].

3.Factors for an effective microbial bioremediation: [18-25]

Factors	Desired Conditions
Microbial population	Suitable kinds of organisms that can biodegrade all of the contaminants
Oxygen	Enough to support aerobic biodegradation (about 2% oxygen in the gas phase or 0.4 mg/lt. in the soil water)
Water	Soil moisture should be from 50–70% of the water holding capacity of the soil
Nutrients	Nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, and other nutrients to support good microbial growth
Temperature	Appropriate temperatures for microbial growth (0–40˚C)
pH	Best range is from 6.5 to 7.5

4.Advantages and Disadvantages of Bioremediation [26]

ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
(i) Bioremediation is a natural process; it is widely accepted by the public as an effective way to remove hydrocarbon waste. The biodegraded compounds are harmless and can be incorporated in the environment (carbon dioxide, water, and biomass.)	(i) Bioremediation process is limited to compounds that are biodegradable. Not all hydrocarbon pollutants are biodegradable or susceptible to degradation by microorganisms. Example—chlorinated hydrocarbons
(ii) Bioremediation can be used for degrading wide variety of pollutants. This technique eliminates any future liability with the contaminants.	(ii) There are some concerns regarding the waste product that may be more toxic than that of the original product, thus harm the environment more.
(iii) Transferring contaminants may cause leaching and further contamination, bioremediation helps to degrade the pollutants on the site without causing additional hazard.	(iii) The growth of microorganisms for the bioremediation of the pollutant site is often very specific and demanding, the factors affecting the growth of microorganisms have to optimum for effective degradation by microbes.
(iv) Bioremediation method ensures that the waste from the biodegradation can be incorporated into the environment and does not have to be carried off-site for disposal.	(iv) Sites containing many different types of contaminants in various phases (solid, liquid, gas), which needs special treatment, or a combination of special microorganisms either native or genetically engineered.
(v) It is relatively inexpensive than other techniques used for clean-up of hazardous waste products.	(v) It is a time-consuming process and may need extra pre-treatment before they can be degraded by microorganisms (excavation, incineration). Which makes this process tedious.

5. Important Microorganisms For Bioremediation

5.1 For Oil Bioremediation [27-33]

Microorganisms	Compounds
Fusarium sp.	oil
Alcaligenes odorans, Bacillus subtilis, Corynebacterium propinquum, Pseudomonas aeruginosa	oil
Bacillus cereus A	diesel oil
Aspergillus niger, Candida glabrata, Candida krusei and Saccharomyces cerevisiae	crude oil
B. brevis, P. aeruginosa KH6, B. licheniformis and B. sphaericus	crude oil
Pseudomonas aeruginosa, P. putida, Arthobacter sp and Bacillus sp	diesel oil
Pseudomonas cepacia, Bacillus cereus, Bacillus coagulans, Citrobacter koseri and Serratia fi caria	diesel oil, crude oil

5.2 For Utilizing Heavy Metals [34-44]

Microorganisms	Compounds
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Heavy metals, lead, mercury and nickel
Cunninghamella elegans	Heavy metals
Pseudomonas fluorescens and Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Fe 2+, Zn2+, Pb2+, Mn2+ and Cu2
Lysinibacillus sphaericus CBAM5	cobalt, copper, chromium and lead
Microbacterium profundi strain Shh49T	Fe
Aspergillus versicolor, A. fumigatus, Paecilomyces sp., Paecilomyces sp., Terichoderma sp., Microsporum sp., Cladosporium sp.	cadmium
Geobacter spp.	Fe (III), U (VI)
Bacillus safensis (JX126862) strain (PB-5 and RSA-4)	cadmium
Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Aeromonas sp.	U, Cu, Ni, Cr
Aerococcus sp., Rhodopseudomonas palustris	Pb, Cr, Cd
Bacillus thuringiensis KUNi1	Ni

5.3 Microorganisms Involved In Bioremediation Of Dyes [45-52]

Microorganisms	Compounds
B. subtilis strain NAP1, NAP2, NAP4	oil-based based paints
Myrothecium roridum IM 6482	industrial dyes
Pycnoporus sanguineous, Phanerochaete chrysosporium and Trametes trogii	industrial dyes
Penicillium ochrochloron	industrial dyes

Micrococcus luteus, Listeria denitrifi cans and Nocardia atlantica	Textile Azo Dyes
Bacillus spp. ETL-2012, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus pumilus HKG212	Textile Dye (Remazol Black B), Sulfonated di-azo dye Reactive Red HE8B, RNB dye
Exiguobacterium indicum, Exiguobacterium aurantiacums, Bacillus cereus and Acinetobacter baumanii	azo dyes effl uents
Bacillus fi rmus, Bacillus macerans, Staphylococcus aureus and Klebsiella oxytoca	vat dyes, Textile effl uents

5.4 Potential Microbial Agents For Pesticide Remediation [53-56]

Microorganisms	Compounds
Bacillus, Staphylococcus	Endosulfan
Enterobacter	Chlorpyrifos
Pseudomonas putida, Acinetobacter sp., Arthrobacter sp.	Ridomil MZ 68 MG, Fitoraz WP 76, Decis 2.5 EC, malation
Acenetobactor sp., Pseudomonas sp., Enterobacter sp. Photobacterium sp.	chlorpyrifos and methyl parathion

Conclusion

Bioremediation is a scientific technique that can be used to reduce or nullify the contaminants as well as pollutants in the surroundings though with certain limitations**.**

References

1. Hlihor R.M., Gavrilescu M., Tavares T., Favier L., Olivieri G.; Bioremediation: An Overview on Current Practices, Advances, and New Perspectives in Environmental Pollution Treatment; Hindawi BioMed Research International Volume 2017, Article ID 6327610, 2 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6327610
2. de la Cueva, S. C., Rodríguez, C. H., Cruz, N. O. S., Contreras, J. A. R., & Miranda, J. L. (2016). Changes in Bacterial Populations During Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated with Petroleum Hydrocarbons. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, 227(3): 1-12.
3. Banerjee A., Roy A., Dutta S., Mondal S. (2016) Bioremediation of hydrocarbon- a review. Int. J. Adv. Res. Vol. 4(6), 1303-1313; ISSN 2320-5407 ; Journal DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01
4. Franchi, E., Agazzi, G., Rolli, E., Borin, S., Marasco, R., Chiaberge, S. and Barbafieri, M. (2016) Exploiting hydrocarbon-degrader indigenous bacteria for bioremediation and phytoremediation of a multi-contaminated soil. Chem. Eng. & Technol.
5. Lee T.H., Byun I.G., Kim Y.O., Hwang I.S., Park T.J. (2006) Monitoring biodegradation of diesel fuel in bioventing processes using in situ respiration rate. Water Sci. & Technol. 53: 263-272. Link: https://goo.gl/6Sn481
6. Agarry S., Ganiyu K. Latinwo (2015) Biodegradation of diesel oil in soil and its enhancement by application of Bioventing and amendment with brewery waste effluents as Bio stimulation- Bio augmentation agents. J. Ecol. Eng. 16: 82–91. Link: https://goo.gl/eGLYrf
7. Abatenh E., Gizaw B., Tsegaye Z., Wassie M. (2017) The Role of Microorganisms in Bioremediation- A Review; Open Journal of Environmental Biology

8. Mulligana C.N., Yong R.N. (2004) Natural attenuation of contaminated soils. Environment International 30: 587 – 601. Link: https://goo.gl/HXBic4
9. American Petroleum Institute (1989) A Guide to the Assessment and Remediation of Underground Petroleum Releases, Publication 1628, API, Washington, DC, pp.81. Baker, R.S. and J. Bierschenk, 1996. "Bioslurping LNAPL contamination". Pollution Engineering, March, pp.38-40.
10. Phytoremediation: An Environmentally Sound Technology for Pollution Prevention,Control & Redmediation; Newsletter & Technical Publications; Freshwater Management Series No. 2
11. Cookson, J.T., Jr., (1995) Bioremediation Engineering Design and Application, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY.
12. Office of Research and Development, EPA. ATTIC Downloadable Documents, available at http://www.epa.gov/bbsnrmrl/attic/documents.html.
13. Alexander M. (1994, Biodegradation and Bioremediation, Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
14. Song H.G., Wang X., Bartha R., (1990) Bioremediation Potential of Terrestrial Fuel Spills, Appl. & Env. Microbiol. 56 (3), pp. 652-656.
15. Dibble J.T. and Bartha R. (1979) Rehabilitation of Oil-Inundated Agricultural land: A Case History, Soil Sci 128 (1), pp. 56-60.
16. A Guide For Corrective Action Plan Reviewers; How To Evaluate Alternative Cleanup Technologies For Underground Storage Tank Sites; EPA 510-B-17-003, October 2017, www.epa.gov/ust
17. Masters, Gilbert M. (1997) Introduction to Environmental Engineering and Science. Prentice Hall. ISBN 9780131553842. Tilley, Elizabeth; Ulrich, Lukas; Lüthi, Christoph; Reymond, Philippe; Zurbrügg, Chris (2014). "Septic tanks". Compendium of Sanitation Systems and Technologies (2nd ed.). Duebendorf, Switzerland: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag). ISBN 978-3-906484-57-0.
18. Martin M. (1994) Biodegradation and Bioremediation. New York: Academic Press.
19. Davis Lawrence C.,Castro-Diaz, Zhange S., Erickson Q., Larry E. (2002) "Benefits Of Vegetation for Soils with Organic Contaminants." Critical Reviews in Plant Sci. 21 (5):457–491.
20. Eweis, Juana B.; Ergas, Sarina J.; Chang, Daniel P.Y. Schroeder Edward D. (1998) Bioremediation Principles. New York: McGraw-Hill.
21. Hannink N.K..; Rosser S. J. Bruce, N. C. (2002) "Phytoremediation of Explosives." Critical Reviews in Plant Sci. 21(5):511–538.
22. McCutcheon S.C. Schnoor J. L. (2003) Phytoremediation: Managing Contamination by Organic Compounds. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
23. Pilon-Smits E., Pilon M. (2002) "Phytoremediation of Metals Using Transgenic Plants." Critical Reviews in Plant Sci. 21(5):439–456.
24. Rittmann B.E. (1993) In Situ Bioremediation: When Does It Work? Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
25. Thomas J.M., Ward C.H., Raymond R.L. Wilson J.T. Loehr, R.C. (1992) "Bioremediation." In Encyclopedia of Microbiology, Vol. 1, edited by Joshua Lederberg, pp. 369–385. New York: Academic Press.
26. Vidali M. (2001) Bioremediation. An overview. Pure Appl. chem. 73(7):1163-1172.
27. Hidayat A., Tachibana S. (2012) Biodegradation of aliphatic hydrocarbon in three types of crude oil by Fusarium sp. F092 under stress with artificial sea water. J. Env. Sci & Technol. 5: 64-73. Link: https://goo.gl/L73KgW.
28. Singh A., Kumar V., Srivastava J.N. (2013) Assessment of Bioremediation of Oil and Phenol Contents in Refinery Waste Water via Bacterial Consortium. J. Pet. Environ. Biotechnol. 4:1-4. Link: https://goo.gl/yavRNm.

29. Maliji D., Olama Z., Holail H. (2013) Environmental studies on the microbial degradation of oil hydrocarbons and its application in Lebanese oil polluted coastal and marine ecosystem. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2: 1-18. Link: https://goo.gl/qsW9tE.
30. Burghal A.A., Najwa M.J.A., Al-Tamimi W.H. (2016) Mycodegradation of Crude Oil by Fungal Species Isolated from Petroleum Contaminated Soil. Int. J. Innovative Res. in Sci. Eng. & Technol. 5: 1517-1524. Link: https://goo.gl/vA5hdb.
31. Aliaa M. El-Borai, Eltayeb K.M., Mostafa A.R., El-Assar S.A. (2016) Biodegradation of Industrial Oil-Polluted Wastewater in Egypt by Bacterial Consortium Immobilized in Different Types of Carriers. Pol J Environ Stud 25: 1901-1909. Link: https://goo.gl/JtCFdd.
32. Sukumar S., Nirmala P. (2016) Screening of diesel oil degrading bacteria from petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil. Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. 3: 18-22. Link: https://goo.gl/PAoc9z.
33. Kehinde F.O., Isaac S.A. (2016) Effectiveness of augmented consortia of *Bacillus coagulans, Citrobacter koseri and Serratia ficaria* in the degradation of diesel polluted soil supplemented with pig dung. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 10: 1637-1644. Link: https://goo.gl/g8Sh3U.
34. Chen C., Wang J. L. (2007) Characteristics of Zn^{2+} biosorption by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Biomed. Enviro Sci, 20: 478–482. Link: https://goo.gl/a3DH9q.
35. Infante J.C., De Arco R.D., Angulo M.E. (2014) Removal of lead, mercury and nickel using the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Revista MVZ Córdoba 19: 4141-4149. Link: https://goo.gl/Tw2h9g
36. Paranthaman S.R., Karthikeyan B. (2015) Bioremediation of heavy metal in paper mill effluent using *Pseudomonas spp*. Int. J. Microbiol. 1: 1-5. Link: https://goo.gl/fU79iK
37. Peña-Montenegro T.D., Lozano L, Dussán J. (2015) Genome sequence and description of the mosquitocidal and heavy metal tolerant strain *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* CBAM5. Stand Genomic Sic 10: 1-10. Link: https://goo.gl/huQD11
38. Wu Y.H., Zhou P., Cheng H., Wang C.S., Wu M. (2015) Draft genome sequence of *Microbacterium profundi* Shh49T, an Actinobacterium isolated from deep-sea sediment of a polymetallic nodule environment. Genome Announcments 3: 1-2. Link: https://goo.gl/EQ19PW
39. Soleimani N., Fazli M.M., Mehrasbi M., Darabian S., Mohammadi J. (2015) Highly cadmium tolerant fungi: Their tolerance and removal potential. J. Environ. Health Sci & Eng. 13:1-9. Link: https://goo.gl/qKzP8u
40. Mirlahiji S.G., Eisazadeh K. (2014) Bioremediation of Uranium by *Geobacter spp.* Journal of Research and Development 1: 52-58. Link: https://goo.gl/8nmruC
41. Priyalaxmi R., Murugan A., Raja P., Raj K.D. (2014) Bioremediation of cadmium by *Bacillus safensis* (JX126862), a marine bacterium isolated from mangrove sediments. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. & Appl. Sci. 3: 326-335. Link: https://goo.gl/qmHJgu
42. Sinha S.N., Biswas M., Paul D., Rahaman S. (2011) Biodegradation potential of bacterial isolates from tannery effluent with special reference to hexavalent chromium. Biotechnol. Bioinfo. & Bioeng. 1: 381- 386. Link: https://goo.gl/Hwz87L
43. Das P., Sinha S., Mukherjee S.K. (2014) Nickel bioremediation potential of *Bacillus thuringiensis* KUNi1 and some environmental factors in nickel removal. Biorem. J. 18:169-177
44. Sinha S.N., Paul D. (2014) Heavy metal tolerance and accumulation by bacterial strains isolated from waste water. J. Chem. Biol. & Phys. Sci. 4: 812- 817. Link: https://goo.gl/HMLnQQ
45. Phulpoto H., Qazi M.A., Mangi S., Ahmed S., Kanhar N.A. (2016) Biodegradation of oil-based paint by *Bacillus* species monocultures isolated from the paint warehouses. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 13: 125–134. Link: https://goo.gl/j4oESf
46. Jasinska A., Rózalska S., Bernat P., Paraszkiewicz K., Długonski J. (2012) Malachite green decolorization by non-basidiomycete filamentous fungi of *Penicillium pinophilum* and *Myrothecium roridum*. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 73: 33–40. Link: https://goo.gl/pgANfY

47. Yan J., Niu J., Chen D., Chen Y., Irbis C. (2014) Screening of Trametes strains for efficient decolorization of malachite green at high temperatures and ionic concentrations. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. 87: 109–115. Link: https://goo.gl/mJedH2
48. Shedbalkar U., Jadhav J. (2011) Detoxification of malachite green and textile industrial effluent by *Penicillium ochrochloron*. Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng. 16: 196–204. Link: https://goo.gl/aa3kDG
49. Hassan M.M., Alam M.Z., Anwar M.N. (2013) Biodegradation of Textile Azo Dyes by Bacteria Isolated from Dyeing Industry Effluent. Int. Res. J. Biological. Sci 2: 27-31. Link: https://goo.gl/uwRa6r
50. Maulin P.S., Patel K.A., Nair S.S. Darji A.M. (2013) Microbial degradation of Textile Dye (Remazol Black B) by *Bacillus* spp. ETL-2012. J. Bioremed. Biodeg. 4:1-5. Link: https://goo.gl/hk89fD
51. Kumar S., Chaurasia P., Kumar A. (2016) Isolation and characterization of microbial strains from textile industry effluents of Bhilwara, India: Analysis with Bioremediation. J. Chem. & Pharma. Res. 8: 143-150. Link: https://goo.gl/nrYoz6
52. Adebajo S.O., Balogun S.A., Akintokun A.K. (2017) Decolourization of Vat Dyes by Bacterial Isolates Recovered from Local Textile Mills in Southwest. Microbiol. Res. J. Int. 18: 1-8. Link: https://goo.gl/vdF6si
53. Mohamed A.T., El Hussein A.A., El Siddig M.A., Osman A.G. (2011) Degradation of oxyfluorfen herbicide by soil microorganisms: Biodegradation of herbicides. Biotechnol. 10: 274-279. Link: https://goo.gl/94wToV
54. Niti C., Sunita S., Kamlesh K. (2013) Bioremediation: An emerging technology for remediation of pesticides. Res. J. Chem. & Env. 17: 88-105. Link: https://goo.gl/PKbsxF
55. . Mónica P., Darwin R.O., Manjunatha B., Zúñiga J.J., Diego R. (2016) Maddela. Evaluation of various pesticides-degrading pure bacterial cultures isolated from pesticide-contaminated soils in Ecuador. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 15: 2224-2233. Link: https://goo.gl/Fs8VS7
56. Ravi R.K., Pathak B., Fulekar M.H. (2015) Bioremediation of persistent pesticides in rice field soil environment using surface soil treatment reactor. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 4: 359-369. Link: https://goo.gl/37Ku6Z